arXiv:1609.09291v1 [cs.IT] 29 Sep 2016

# Permutations via linear translators

Nastja Cepak, Pascale Charpin and Enes Pasalic

**Abstract**

We show that many infinite classes of permutations over finite fields can be constructed via translators with a large choice of parameters. We first characterize some functions having linear translators, based on which several families of permutations are then derived. Extending the results of [9], we give in several cases the compositional inverse of these permutations. The connection with complete permutations is also utilized to provide further infinite classes of permutations. Moreover, we propose new tools to study permutations of the form $x \mapsto x + (x^{p^m} - x + \delta)^s$ and a few infinite classes of permutations of this form are proposed.

**Keywords:** Permutation, involution, AGW criterion, compositional inverse, complete permutation, translator, linear structure, component functions.

## 1 Introduction

The main goal of this paper is to contribute to the study of permutations of finite fields. A finite field of order $p^n$ is denoted $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ where $p$ is any prime and $n$ a positive integer. A polynomial $F \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$ is said to be a permutation if its associated mapping $x \mapsto F(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is bijective. During the last few years there has been a tremendous progress in construction methods and characterisation of many infinite classes of permutations, see a survey on recent works in [8] and the references therein. The use of permutations in applications such as coding is well-known and understood. The bijectivity is also an important cryptographic criterion used in the design of some block ciphers. For applicative purposes the use of sparse permutations, *i.e.,* which can be expressed with few terms, is also an important property along with the degree and the nonlinearity which are referred to as the standard cryptographic criteria. For this reason, we are mainly interested in specifying design methods of sparse permutations, having a few polynomial terms.

This paper is based on the work of Kyureghyan [9] where permutations over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{rk}}$ of kind

$$F \ : \ x \mapsto L(x) + L(\gamma)h(f(x)), \ f : \mathbb{F}_{p^{rk}} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}, \ h : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}, \tag{1}$$

are studied. Here $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}^*_{p^{rk}}$ is a so-called *b-linear translator* of $f$ (cf. Definition 1) and $L$ a linear permutation. Note that this construction is in a certain sense a generalization of the so-called *switching construction* [4, 5]. Akbary, Ghioca and Wang unified the Kyureghyan's construction for arbitrary subsets $S \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ (not only subfields of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$) along with proposing a few other constructions in [1]. This general criterion is now called AGW criterion [11, Theorem 8.1.39]. After these pioneering works a series of papers [14, 15, 16, 18] (among others) treated the same topic of specifying new classes of permutation polynomials of the above form. For a nice survey of recent achievements related to this particular class of permutations the reader is referred to [8]. Nevertheless, most of the recent contributions attempt to specify suitable functions $h, f$ and $L$ as in (1), or alternatively, for $F$ given by

$$F \ : \ x \mapsto \gamma(f(x) + \delta)^s + L(x), \ \delta \in \mathbb{F}^*_{p^n}, \tag{2}$$

to specify suitable degree $s$, $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, the function $f$ and also some particular field characteristic $p$, see for instance [14] where three classes of permutations of the form (2) were specified for $p = 3$.

Our main purpose is to emphasize that the use of functions $f$ which have translators gives us the possibility to construct many infinite classes of permutations with a large choice of parameters. A suitable use of this method allows us also to construct linear permutations and sparse permutations of high degree and to give their compositional inverses. Moreover, a connection of this class of permutations to complete permutations is considered and also more general results related to an explicit specification of permutations of the form (2) are given (for instance valid for any degree $s$ for suitable $f$ and $\delta$).

More specifically this paper is organized as follows. After preliminaries, Section 3 is devoted to the existence of translators $\gamma$ for functions $f$, where $f$ has a sparse polynomial representation. In Section 4, we are interested in the compositional inverses of permutations of type (1), similarly to, for instance, the work of Tuxanidy and Wang [13]. Provided that $f$ has a $b$-translator $\gamma$, the function $g : u \mapsto u + bh(u)$ must permute $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ to ensure the bijectivity of $F$ [9]. Nevertheless, when $b = 0$ this holds for any $h$ leading to several families of permutations with their compositional inverse. It is shown later that when $b \neq 0$, by defining a class of involutions in odd characteristic (Proposition 5), we are still able to specify the compositional inverses in certain cases.

Permutations $F$ of type (1) are closely related to so-called complete mappings through the condition that $g$ must be a permutation. However, note that $h$ does not need to be bijective to apply Theorem 2, and therefore $g$ is not necessarily a complete permutation. The connection to complete permutations, which we explain and illustrate in Section 5, is rather made to relate the number of recent works on this topic for the purpose of specifying new classes of permutations.

In Section 6, a special class of functions given by (2), which has been studied in several papers (see [14, 16, 19] and references therein), is considered. We first show that Theorem 2 applies to this class of permutations when $\delta$ and $f$ satisfy some simple conditions (Proposition 6), which essentially gives us the possibility of specifying a family of infinite classes of permutations for any $s$. This is also the main difference to many previous works e.g. [14, 16, 19], where some specific classes of permutations were identified only for certain exponents $s$. Moreover, we specify the conditions that $F$, as specified above, is a permutation for both $p = 2$ and $p$ odd. In both cases, we have been able to adapt Theorem 2 and to satisfy these conditions, thus providing other infinite classes of permutations (Propositions 8 and 9). Actually, our generalized framework turns out to give another (simpler) method to prove the bijectivity of some functions studied in [16, 18, 14].

On the other hand, it turns out that the results in Section 6 can be derived from the results in [1], more precisely from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.9 in [1]. Nevertheless, our proof technique may have independent significance in the analysis of similar classes of permutations and more importantly our approach may potentially give an insight in the spectra of the component functions which has a great importance in cryptographic applications.

# 2 Preliminaries

We recall some definitions or results given in [9]. Throughout this paper $p$ designates any prime.

**Definition 1** *Let $n = rk$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $f$ be a function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $b$ fixed in $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Then $\gamma$ is a $b$-linear translator for $f$ if*

$$f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) = ub, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \text{ and for all } u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}.$$

*In particular, when $k = 1$, $\gamma$ is usually said to be a $b$-linear structure of the function $f$ (where $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$), that is*

$$f(x + \gamma) - f(x) = b \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

We denote by $Tr(\cdot)$ the absolute trace on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and by $T_k^n(\cdot)$ the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, where $k$ divides $n$:

$$T_k^n(\beta) = \beta + \beta^{p^k} + \cdots + \beta^{p^{(n/k-1)k}}.$$

We have also to recall that a $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear function on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ $(n = rk)$ is of the type

$$L : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n},\ L(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda_i x^{p^{ki}}\ ,\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

In the case when $n = 2k$, it is easy to describe such linear permutations. The next lemma is proved useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 1** *Let $n = 2k$ and $L : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, $L(x) = ax + bx^{p^k}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ of order $p^k + 1$. Then we have:*

**(i)** *$L$ is a permutation if and only if $ab^{-1} \notin \mathcal{G}$;*

**(ii)** *$L$ is an involution if and only if $T_k^n(a) = 0$ and $b^{p^k+1} = 1 - a^2$.*

*Proof.* Since $L(x) = x(a + bx^{p^k-1})$, $ab^{-1} \notin \mathcal{G}$ means that the kernel of $L$ is $\{0\}$. Now we have

$$L \circ L(x) = x(a^2 + b^{p^k+1}) + x^{p^k} b(a + a^{p^k}).$$

Thus $L$ is an involution if and only if $a + a^{p^k} = 0$ and $a^2 + b^{p^k+1} = 1$. When $p$ is odd, note that $a + a^{p^k} = 0$ implies $a^2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$. The case $p = 2$ is an instance of [6, Proposition 5]. ◇

The following general theorem is given in [9] without proof since the proof is an equivalent of those given in [4] and [7], when $k = 1$ and $k = n$, respectively.

**Theorem 1** *A function $f$ from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $n = rk$, has a linear translator if and only if there is a non-bijective $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear function $L$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that*

$$f(x) = T_k^n\left(H \circ L(x) + \beta x\right)$$

*for some $H : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. In this case the kernel of $L$ is contained in the subspace of linear translators (including 0 by convention).*

Now we have the following construction, introduced by Kyureghyan in [9, Theorem 1]. This result can also be obtained by using the AGW criterion, see Section 6 in [1].

**Theorem 2** [9, Theorem 1] *Let $n = rk$, with $r, k > 1$. Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Let $f$ a function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ onto $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $h : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $b$ is fixed in $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Assume that $\gamma$ is a $b$-linear translator of $f$. Then*

$$F(x) = L(x) + L(\gamma)h(f(x))$$

*permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if $g : u \mapsto u + bh(u)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.*

# 3 On functions having translators

In this section, motivated by the possibility of specifying new classes of permutations by means of Theorem 2, we investigate the existence of linear translators for sparse polynomials $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ (the problem being difficult for arbitrary polynomials). More precisely, we show the non-existence of linear translators for monomials and derive the exact form of binomials for which there exist linear translators. The monomial trace function of the form $Tr_k^n(x^d)$ is also considered.

The following two results are frequently used throughout this section.

**Theorem 3** [Lucas' theorem] *Let $a, b$ be positive integers and $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p^i$, $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i p^i$ their $p$-adic expansions, where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then*

$$\binom{a}{b} \pmod p \equiv \binom{a_1}{b_1} \cdots \binom{a_n}{b_n}.$$

*It follows that $\binom{a}{b} \pmod p \neq 0$ if and only if $b \preceq a$, i.e., $b_i \leq a_i$ for all $i$.*

Let now $f(x) : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} b_i x^i$. In [12], a compact formula relating the coefficients $b_i$ of $f$ and of its derivative $f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{p^n-2} c_t x^t$ was derived. More precisely

$$c_t = \sum_{i=t+1}^{p^n-1} \binom{i}{t} (u\gamma)^{i-t} b_i, \quad t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p^n - 2\}. \tag{3}$$

The first application of these results regards the existence of translators for $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ which is either monomial or binomial.

**Proposition 1** *Let $f(x) = x^d$, $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, where $n = rk$ and $r > 1$.*

*i) Then the image set of $f$ is in $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ if and only if the exponent $d$ is of the form*

$$d = j(p^{k(r-1)} + p^{k(r-2)} + \cdots + p^k + 1), \tag{4}$$

*for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, p^k - 1\}$.*

*ii) The function $f$ does not have a linear translator in sense of Definition 1.*

*Proof.* *i)* Since $f$ maps to some subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $(x^d)^{p^k} = x^d$ must be true. This means $x^{d(p^k-1)} = 1$ and therefore $d(p^k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n - 1}$. It follows that

$$d \;=\; j\frac{p^n - 1}{p^k - 1} = j(p^{k(r-1)} + p^{k(r-2)} + \cdots + 1),$$

for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, p^k - 1\}$.

*ii*) If a function $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} b_i x^i$ has a linear translator, it must satisfy two necessary but not sufficient conditions:

1. it must map to a subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ as requested by the definition, and
2. its coefficients $b_i$ must satisfy $c_t = 0$, for $t \in \{1, \ldots, p^n - 2\}$ and $c_0 \neq 0$, where $c_t$ and $c_0$ are defined above by (3).

The first condition implies that $d$ must be of the form (4), for $j \in \{1, \ldots, p^k - 1\}$. Since $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq d$, the second condition implies that $c_t = \binom{d}{t}(u\gamma)^{d-t} = 0$, for all $t \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$. This is satisfied only if $\binom{d}{t} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $t$. Using Lucas' theorem, the only possibility is $t \not\preceq d$, for all $t$. But since our $d$ satisfies (4), for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, p^k - 2\}$, this is impossible. $\diamond$

**Proposition 2** *Let $f(x) = \beta x^i + x^j$, $i < j$, where $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $n = rk$, where $r > 1$. Then the function $f$ has a linear translator if and only if $n$ is even, $k = \frac{n}{2}$, and furthermore $f(x) = T_k^n(x)$.*

*Proof.* Let $f(x) = \beta x^i + x^j, i < j, \beta \neq 0$. The function $f$ must satisfy the same two properties as in the proof of Proposition 1. The second property, according to Definition 1 and (3), implies that $c_t$ must satisfy

$$0 = c_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j \leq t \leq p^n - 2 \\ \binom{j}{t}(u\gamma)^{j-t} & \text{for } i \leq t < j \\ \binom{i}{t}(u\gamma)^{i-t}\beta + \binom{j}{t}(u\gamma)^{j-t} & \text{for } 0 < t < i \end{cases} . \tag{5}$$

Suppose $i$ and $j$ are both powers of $p$ so that $i = p^{i'}, j = p^{j'}$. Since $t \not\preceq j$ and $t \not\preceq i$ for any $t$ in the above range, by Lucas' theorem $c_t = 0$ for all $t \neq 0$.

Assume now that $i$ and $j$ are not both powers of $p$ and that (5) holds. First, we must have $t \not\prec j$ for $i \leq t < j$ (to have $c_t = 0$ for such $t$); in particular $i \not\prec j$. Then, there exists $t$, $0 < t < i$, such that either $t \prec j$ or $t \prec i$ for $t < i$. Since $c_t = 0$ we have:

- if $t \prec i$ then $t \prec j$, because otherwise $\beta = 0$, a contradiction;
- if $t \prec j$ then $t \prec i$, since otherwise $c_t = \binom{j}{t}(u\gamma)^{j-t} \neq 0$;

Thus, $t \prec i$ if and only if $t \prec j$, for all $t \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$. But, since $i \not\prec j$ there is $t' < i$ which satisfies $t' \prec i$, and $t' \not\prec j$, a contradiction.

Let us now analyze when $f(x) = \beta x^{p^{i'}} + x^{p^{j'}}$. Note that we want to have

$$f(x + \gamma u) - f(x) = f(\gamma u) = \beta(\gamma u)^{p^{i'}} + (\gamma u)^{p^{j'}} = uA(\beta, \gamma),$$

where $A$ is some function of $\beta, \gamma$. Then $k$ must divide $i'$ and $j'$; set $i' = uk$ and $j' = vk$ $(0 \le u < v \le r-1)$. Since $F$ maps to a subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, the following must be satisfied for all $x$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta x^{p^{uk}} + x^{p^{vk}})^{p^k} - \beta x^{p^{uk}} - x^{p^{vk}} &= 0\\ \beta^{p^k} x^{p^{(u+1)k}} + x^{p^{(v+1)k}} - \beta x^{p^{uk}} - x^{p^{vk}} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the exponents $\{p^{(u+1)k}, p^{(v+1)k}, p^{uk}, p^{vk}\}$ cannot be two by two distinct. This forces $u = v+1 \pmod r$ and further $v = u+1 \pmod r$. This implies $u = u+2 \pmod r$ showing that the only solution is $u = 0$ with $r = 2$ and $v = r-1 = 1$ (using also $0 \le u < v \le r-1$). Finally, we must have

$$\beta^{p^k} x^{p^k} + x - \beta x - x^{p^k} = x^{p^k}\left(\beta^{p^k} - 1\right) - x(\beta - 1) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x,$$

which implies $\beta = 1$ so that $F(x) = T_k^{2k}(x)$ completing the proof. $\diamond$

Any function $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $n = rk$, can be expressed as $f(x) = T_k^n(P(x))$, where $P$ is some polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$. Note that this representation is not unique. In the rest of this section we analyze the case when $P$ has a single term, the cases with several terms being significantly more complicated. The following result further refines the choice of $d$ for $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x^d)$. We denote by $wt_H(d)$ the Hamming weight of $d$ which is the number of nonzero components in the $p$-adic expansion of integer $d$.

**Proposition 3** *The function $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x^d)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, can have a linear translator only if $wt_H(d) \in \{1, 2\}$. When $wt_H(d) = 2$, then $d$ must be equal to $p^j(1+p^i)$ for some $0 \le i, j \le n-1$, $i \notin \{0, n/2\}$. In particular, $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x^{2p^j})$ cannot have linear translators.*

*Proof.* In [3, Theorem 5], it was proved that the function $T_1^n(\beta x^d)$ can have a linear structure only if $wt_H(d) \in \{1, 2\}$. Especially, when $wt_H(d) = 2$ then $d = p^j(1+p^i)$ for some $0 \le i, j \le n-1$, $i \notin \{0, n/2\}$.

Suppose now that the function $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x^d)$ has a $b$-translator $\gamma$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}T_1^n\left(\beta(x+u\gamma)^d - \beta x^d\right) &= T_1^k\left(T_k^n(\beta(x+u\gamma)^d - \beta x^d)\right)\\ &= T_1^k(bu).\end{aligned}$$

If we now fix $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, then $u\gamma$ becomes the $T_1^k(bu)$-linear structure of $T_1^n(\beta x^d)$, which gives the result. In particular, the function $T_1^n(\beta x^{2p^j})$ (corresponding to $i = 0$ in $d = p^j(1+p^i)$) cannot have linear translators. $\diamond$

The following result was mentioned by Kyureghyan in [9].

**Lemma 2** *Let $f$ be an affine function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ given by $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x) + a$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Then, any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is a $b$-translator of $f$, with $b = T_k^n(\beta\gamma)$.*

*Proof.* For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ we have

$$f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) = T_k^n(\beta(x + u\gamma)) - T_k^n(\beta x) = T_k^n(\beta u\gamma) = uT_k^n(\beta\gamma),$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. ◇

The next result regards the existence of linear translators for the trace of quadratic monomials which in general contains $r$ polynomial terms for $n = rk$.

**Lemma 3** *Let $n = rk$ and $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x^{p^i+p^j})$, where $i < j$. Then, $f$ has a derivative independent of $x$, that is, $f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) = T_k^n(\beta(u\gamma)^{p^i+p^j})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, if and only if $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ are related through,*

$$\beta\gamma^{p^{i+lk}} + \beta^{p^{(r-l)k}}\gamma^{p^{i+(r-l)k}} = 0, \tag{6}$$

*where $0 < l < r$ satisfies $j = i + kl$.*
*In particular, if $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ then $f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) = \beta T_k^n((u\gamma)^{p^i+p^{i+kl}})$ if and only if $\gamma^{p^{2kl}-1} = -1$, which requires $\frac{r}{\gcd(r,2l)}$ is even when $p > 2$.*

*Proof.* For $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x^{p^i+p^j})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) &= T_k^n\left(\beta(x + u\gamma)^{p^i+p^j}\right) - T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^i+p^j}\right) \\ &= T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^i}(u\gamma)^{p^j} + \beta x^{p^j}(u\gamma)^{p^i} + \beta(u\gamma)^{p^i+p^j}\right) \\ &= T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^i}(u\gamma)^{p^j}\right) + T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^j}(u\gamma)^{p^i}\right) + T_k^n\left(\beta(u\gamma)^{p^i+p^j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The above expression will be independent of $x$ if and only if $T_k^n(\beta x^{p^i}(u\gamma)^{p^j}) = -T_k^n(\beta x^{p^j}(u\gamma)^{p^i})$, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.

We analyze this equation in terms of the congruence $i \equiv j \pmod{k}$. If $i \not\equiv j \pmod{k}$, it follows that all the exponents are pairwise different. Therefore, all the coefficients must equal 0 and so either $\beta = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$. But $\gamma$ cannot be 0, following from Definition 1, and $\beta$ cannot be 0, since then $f(x) = 0$.

It follows that $i \equiv j \pmod{k}$, thus $j = i + kl$ for some $0 < l < r$. Note that we exclude the case $l = 0$. Indeed, in this case, $f$ is linear for $p = 2$ and $f(x) = x^{2p^i}$ for

$p > 2$, a function which cannot have a linear translator by Proposition 3. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+u\gamma)-f(x) &= T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^i}(u\gamma)^{p^{i+lk}}\right)+T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^{i+lk}}(u\gamma)^{p^i}\right)+T_k^n\left(\beta(u\gamma)^{p^i+p^{i+lk}}\right) \\ &= T_k^n\left(\beta x^{p^i}(u\gamma)^{p^{i+lk}}+\beta^{p^{(r-l)k}}x^{p^i}(u\gamma)^{p^{i+(r-l)k}}+\beta(u\gamma)^{p^i+p^{i+lk}}\right) \\ &= u^{p^i}T_k^n\left(x^{p^i}\left(\beta\gamma^{p^{i+lk}}+\beta^{p^{(r-l)k}}\gamma^{p^{i+(r-l)k}}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + u^{2p^i}T_k^n\left(\beta\gamma^{p^i+p^{i+lk}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus, we must have

$$\beta\gamma^{p^{i+lk}}+\beta^{p^{(r-l)k}}\gamma^{p^{i+(r-l)k}}=0,$$

to eliminate $x$.

In particular, if $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ then the above condition reduces to $\gamma^{p^{2lk}-1}=-1$, which for $p$ odd has a solution exactly when $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,2kl)}=\frac{r}{\gcd(r,2l)}$ is even (see [3, Claim 4], for instance).

$\diamond$

**Remark 1** *It can be easily verified that*

$$T_k^n(\beta x^{p^i+p^j})=\left(T_k^n(ax^{1+p^{j-i}})\right)^{p^i},\ a=\beta^{p^{n-i}},\ j>i.$$

*Thus, alternatively, one can consider the mapping* $x\mapsto T_k^n(ax^{1+p^s})$.

The result below specifies further the existence of translators for quadratic trace monomials.

**Theorem 4** *Let* $n=rk$ *and* $f(x)=T_k^n(\beta x^{p^i+p^j})$, *where* $r>1$ *and* $j=i+kl$ *for some* $0<l<r$. *Assume that* $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ *is a* $b$*-translator of* $f$, *where* $b=T_k^n(\beta\gamma^{p^i+p^{i+lk}})$. *Then :*

*i) If* $p=2$ *the condition (6) in Lemma 3 must be satisfied and either*

$$b=T_k^n(\beta\gamma^{2^i+2^{i+lk}})\ \text{ and } i=sk-1 \text{ for some } 0<s\le r,$$

*or* $b=0$. *In particular, if* $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ *then* $\gamma=1$ *is a* $0$*-translator of* $f$ *if* $r$ *is even and* $\gamma=1$ *is a* $\beta$*-translator if* $r$ *is odd, where in the latter case* $i=sk-1$.

*ii) If* $p>2$ *we necessarily have* $b=0$. *In particular, if* $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ *then* $n$ *is even and* $\gamma$ *must satisfy* $\gamma^{p^{2kl}-1}=-1$ *and* $Tr_k^n(\gamma^{1+p^{lk}})=0$.

*Proof.* If (6) is satisfied then, from (7),

$$f(x+u\gamma)-f(x) \;=\; u^{2p^i}T_k^n\left(\beta\gamma^{p^i+p^{i+lk}}\right).$$

For $f$ to have linear translators, we either have $u^{2p^i}=u$ or $T_k^n(\beta\gamma^{p^i+p^{i+lk}})=0$.

*i*) Let $p=2$. The condition $u^{2p^i}=u$ gives $2^{i+1}\equiv 1 \pmod{2^k-1}$, which implies $i=sk-1$, for some $0<s\leq r$. This follows from the fact that $2^k-1 \mid 2^{i+1}-1$ if and only if $k \mid i+1$. Otherwise, if $T_k^n(\beta\gamma^{2^i+2^{i+lk}})=0$ then $\gamma$ is a 0-translator.

In particular, if $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ then $\gamma=1$ is a solution to (6). Then,

$$b=\beta T_k^n(\gamma^{2^i+2^{i+lk}})=\beta T_k^n(1)=0$$

if $r$ is even and $b=\beta$ for odd $r$ where additionally $i=sk-1$ as above.

*ii*) For $p>2$ we have $2p^i\equiv 1 \pmod{p^k-1}$, which implies $2p^i=1+s(p^k-1)$, for some $s$. Since $p$ is odd the left-hand side of the equation is even and the right-hand side is odd, which is impossible. The only remaining option for $\gamma$ is to be a 0-translator.

In particular, if $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$, then by Lemma 3, $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,2kl)}=\frac{r}{\gcd(r,2l)}$ is even and thus $n$ must be even. Furthermore, (6) reduces to $\gamma^{p^{2kl}-1}=-1$ and the fact that $b=0$ implies

$$T_k^n(\beta\gamma^{p^i+p^{i+lk}})=\beta\left(T_k^n(\gamma^{1+p^{lk}})\right)^{p^i}=0.$$

$\diamond$

**Remark 2** *The existence of translators for $f(x)=Tr_k^n(\beta x^{p^i+p^j})$ is more easily handled when $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. For $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ general solutions to (6) satisfying at the same time the other conditions seem to be difficult to specify explicitly. Theorem 4 may also induce some non-existence results as well, which however requires further analysis.*

The next corollary follows directly from Theorem 2 and 4.

**Corollary 1** *Let $p=2$, $n=rk$, $f(x)=T_k^n(\beta x^{p^{sk-1}+p^{(s+l)k-1}})$ for some $0<l<r$, $0<s\leq r$, and let $\gamma$ satisfy (6) in Lemma 3. Then*

$$L(x)+L(\gamma)h\left(T_k^n(\beta x^{p^{sk-1}+p^{(s+l)k-1}})\right),$$

*where $L$ is a $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and $h:\mathbb{F}_{p^k}\to\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, is a permutation if and only if $g:u\mapsto u+T_k^n(\beta\gamma^{p^{sk-1}+p^{(s+l)k-1}})h(u)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.*

# 4 Compositional inverses

The main goal of this paper is to show that a lot of permutations, and some related structures can be derived from Theorem 2. In this section, we focus on the compositional inverses of these permutations. A similar initiative was taken in [13] where other classes of permutations (not of the form (2)) were analyzed with respect to their inverses. Related to compositional inverses of permutations of the form (2), we mention Corollary 3.8 in [13] which states that given $\gcd(n,k) = d > 1, s(q^k-1) \equiv 0 \mod (q^n-1), \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, the function $f(x) = x + (x^{q^k} - x + \delta)^s$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and its inverse is $f^{-1}(x) = x - (x^{q^k} - x + \delta)^s$.

**Definition 2** *Let $F$ be any function over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. For any $t \geq 1$, the function*

$$F_t(x) = \underbrace{F \circ \cdots \circ F}_{t}(x)$$

*is said to be the t-fold composition of $F$ with itself.*

In [9, Section 4], the author studied the functions $F : x \mapsto x + \gamma f(x)$, *i.e.,* with notation of Definition 1, the function $h$ being the identity. Several results in [9], regarding the compositional inverses, hold for such $F$ (only). Henceforth, we attempt to specify compositional inverses when $h$ is not the identity.

**Lemma 4** *Let $n = rk$, $k > 1$. Let $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $h : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Define*

$$F(x) = x + \gamma h(f(x)),\ \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$$

*where $\gamma$ is a b-linear translator of $f$. Then*

$$F_2(x) = x + \gamma h(f(x)) + \gamma h\left(bh(f(x)) + f(x)\right).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} F \circ F(x) &= F\left(x + \gamma h(f(x))\right) \\ &= x + \gamma h(f(x)) + \gamma h\left(f(x + \gamma h(f(x))\right) \\ &= x + \gamma h(f(x)) + \gamma h\left(bh(f(x)) + f(x)\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $f(x + \gamma h(f(x))) = bh(f(x)) + f(x)$ for all $x$. ◇

**Proposition 4** *Notation is as in Lemma 4. If $b = 0$ then $F_p(x) = x$ so that*

$$F^{-1}(x) = F_{p-1}(x) = x + (p-1)\gamma h(f(x)).$$

*In particular, $F$ is an involution when $p = 2$.*

*Proof.* Assume that $b = 0$. In this case, $F$ is a permutation for any $h$ (from Theorem 2), so that its compositional inverse $F^{-1}$ exists. We get from Lemma 4:

$$F \circ F(x) = x + 2\ \gamma h(f(x)).$$

Assume that $F_{j-1}(x) = x + (j-1)\gamma h(f(x))$. We have for $2 < j \leq p$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(x) &= F \circ F_{j-1}(x) = F_{j-1}(x) + \gamma h(f(F_{j-1}(x))) \\ &= x + (j-1)\gamma h(f(x)) + \gamma h(f(x)) = x + j\gamma h(f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

since $f(x + (j-1)\gamma h(f(x))) = f(x)$, for all $x$. Thus we get $F_p(x) = x$, for all $x$, for $j = p$. Moreover if $p = 2$ then $F^{-1} = F$. ◇

Thus, according to Proposition 4 a large set of permutations can be obtained whose compositional inverse is known as illustrated below.

**Corollary 2** *Let $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $n = rk$, $f(x) = T_k^n(\beta x)$. Choose $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ such that $T_k^n(\beta\gamma) = 0$. Let $L$ be any $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear permutation. Then the functions*

$$F(x) = L(x) + L(\gamma)h\left(T_k^n(\beta x)\right)$$

*are permutations for any $h : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Moreover*

$$F^{-1}(x) = L^{-1}(x) + (p-1)L(\gamma)h\left(T_k^n(\beta(L^{-1}(x)))\right).$$

*If $p = 2$ and $L(x) = x$, then $F$ is an involution, i.e., $F^{-1} = F$.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2, $\gamma$ is a 0-translator of $f$ if and only if $T_k^n(\beta\gamma) = 0$. So, from Theorem 2, $F$ is a permutation for any $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear permutation $L$ and for any $h$. Further, set $G(x) = x + \gamma h(f(x))$ so that $F = L \circ G$. Then $F^{-1} = G^{-1} \circ L^{-1}$, where, from Proposition 4,

$$G^{-1}(x) = G_{p-1}(x) = x + (p-1)\gamma h\left(T_k^n(\beta x)\right).$$

Moreover if $p = 2$ and $L(x) = x$, then $F^{-1}(x) = G^{-1}(x)$ with $G^{-1}(x) = G(x)$. ◇

Taking $h$ linear we get a large set of linear permutations. We illustrate this in the binary case when $r = 2$.

**Corollary 3** *Notation is as in Corollary 2 with $n = 2k$ and $p = 2$. Assume that $L$ is a $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear involution, i.e., $L(x) = ax + bx^{2^k}$ as defined by Lemma 1. Then, for all $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ such that $T_k^n(\beta\gamma) = 0$ and for any linear function $h$ the functions*

$$F(x) = L(x) + L(\gamma)h\left(T_k^n(\beta x)\right),$$

*are linear permutations of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and*

$$F^{-1}(x) = L(x) + L(\gamma)h\left(T_k^n(\beta(L(x)))\right).$$

Note that for $p = 3$ the compositional inverse is obtained by adding to $F$ its second term, as shown in the example below.

**Example 1** *Let $p = 3$, $n = 3k$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^k}$.*

$$F(x) = x + \gamma(x^{3^{2k}} + x^{3^k} + x + a)^s,\ T_k^{3k}(\gamma) = 0.$$

*Then, by applying Corollary 2, $F$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ for any integer $s$ in the range $[1, 3^n - 2]$. Moreover*

$$F^{-1} = x + 2\gamma\left(x^{3^{2k}} + x^{3^k} + x + a\right)^s = F(x) + \gamma\left(T_k^n(x) + a\right)^s.$$

In Section 3, it was proved that a function $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $p$ odd, defined by $f(x) = T_k^n(x^{p^i+p^{i+\ell k}})$, can have a $b$-translator for $b = 0$ only (see Theorem 4). Based on this, we are able to derive a class of permutations of degree at least 2 whose compositional inverse is known.

**Corollary 4** *Let $p$ be an odd prime, $n = rk$ and $\ell$ be a positive integer such that $r/\gcd(r, 2\ell)$ is even. Let $f(x) = T_k^n(x^{p^i+p^{i+\ell k}})$, where $0 \le i \le k-1$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ such that*

$$\gamma^{p^{2k\ell}-1} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_k^n(\gamma^{1+p^{\ell k}}) = 0.$$

*Then*

$$x \mapsto L(x) + L(\gamma)h\left(T_k^n(\beta x^{p^i+p^{i+\ell k}})\right)$$

*is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, for any $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear permutation $L$ and any $h : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Moreover if $F(x) = x + \gamma h\left(T_k^n(x^{p^i+p^{i+\ell k}})\right)$ then*

$$F^{-1}(x) = x + \gamma(p-1)h\left(T_k^n(x^{p^i+p^{i+\ell k}})\right).$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 4, $\gamma$ is a 0-linear translator of $f$ if and only if $T_k^n(\gamma^{1+p^{\ell k}}) = 0$. Further, we apply Theorem 2 and Proposition 4. ◇

We previously considered functions with a zero translator, *i.e.,* $b = 0$, to obtain permutations with their compositional inverses. When $b \neq 0$, other permutations with their compositional inverses can be obtained. In this case however, it seems that the definition of the function $h$ has to be specified. The idea is to determine $h$ such that

$$h(f(x)) + h(bh(f(x)) + f(x)) = g(x),\ b \neq 0,$$

(by using Lemma 4) where $g$ allows us to compute easily the $t$-fold composition of $F$ with itself. We illustrate our purpose by constructing involutions for any odd $p$.

**Proposition 5** *Notation is as in Lemma 4. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Assume that $\gamma$ is a $b$-linear translator of $f$ where $b \neq 0$. Set $h(x) = \lambda x$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$ and $\lambda \neq -b^{-1}$. Then the function $F$,*

$$F(x) = x + \gamma\lambda f(x),$$

*permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. Moreover, if $\lambda = -2b^{-1}$ then $F$ is an involution.*

*Proof.* From Theorem 2, $F$ is a permutation, since

$$\ell(u) = u + bh(u) = u(1 + \lambda b) \text{ for } u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k};$$

so $\ell$ is a permutation because $\lambda \neq -b^{-1}$ by hypothesis. Moreover

$$h(f(x)) + h(bh(f(x)) + f(x)) = 2\lambda f(x) + b\lambda^2 f(x) = \lambda f(x)(2 + b\lambda).$$

From Lemma 4, we get $F \circ F(x) = x$ if and only if $2 + b\lambda = 0$. ◇

# 5 Relation with complete permutations

The concept of complete permutations is of crucial importance for non-zero linear translators $b$ in terms of Theorem 2, since the main condition there was that $u \mapsto u + bh(u)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.

**Definition 3** *Let $h$ be a function over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. We say that $h$ is complete with respect to $b$, or $b$-complete, when both $h$ and $u \mapsto u + bh(u)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.*

Thus we can apply Theorem 2 as follows:

**Theorem 5** *Let $n = rk$, $k > 1$. Let $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $h : \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$ such that $\gamma$ is a $b$-linear translator of $f$. Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.*

*If $h$ is $b$-complete then $F(x) = L(x) + L(\gamma)h(f(x))$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.*

*Proof.* To say that $h$ is $b$-complete is to say that both $h$ and $u \mapsto u + bh(u)$ permute $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. We apply Theorem 2 assuming that $h$ is a permutation. ◇

The characterizarion of complete permutations, especially monomials, is currently discussed in many works (see for instance [2, 15, 17] and refererences). We illustrate Theorem 5 through the example below.

**Example 2** *Let $p = 3$ and $h$ be the permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ defined by $h(x) = x^{p^2+p+2}$. By [2, Theorem 6] we know those $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ such that $u \mapsto u + bh(u)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$. Thus, we can apply Theorem 5 for any $n = 3r$ and for any such $b$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and*

$$f \ : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \ \mapsto \ x + x^{p^3} + \cdots + x^{p^{(r-1)3}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}.$$

*Then, for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}$*

$$f(x + u\gamma) - f(x) = T_3^{3r}(u\gamma) = uT_3^{3r}(\gamma).$$

*Thus, we choose $\gamma$ such that $b = T_3^{3r}(\gamma)$ is suitable, according to the results of [2]. Then we obtain a new permutation $F$, for any $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$-linear permutation $L$. In particular for $L(x) = x$:*

$$F(x) \ : \ x \mapsto x + \gamma \left(T_3^{3r}(x)\right)^{p^2+p+2}$$

*is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Another example is $L(x) = ax + x^{p^3}$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and $-a$ are not in the image set of $x \mapsto x^{p^3-1}$. Then*

$$F(x) = ax + x^{p^3} + L(\gamma) \left(T_3^{3r}(x)\right)^{p^2+p+2}$$

*is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.*

A set of trinomials which are 1-complete over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ is proposed in [15, Theorem 4]. We give here a slightly different version of this result.

**Theorem 6** *For any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the trinomial*

$$h(x) = x^{2^{2m}+1} + x^{2^m+1} + \nu x$$

*is complete over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ with respect to any $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0, \nu^{-1}\}$.*

*Proof.* It is proved in [15] that $h$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ for any such $\nu$. Thus $x \mapsto bh(x)$ is also a permutation. If $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0, \nu^{-1}\}$ then $b\nu + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. So we have

$$g(x) = b\left(x^{2^{2m}+1} + x^{2^m+1} + \nu x\right) + x = bh(x) + x,$$

where $h$ and $g$ are both bijective. ◇

Applying Theorem 2, we obtain directly the following class of permutation.

**Corollary 5** *Let $n = rk$ with $k = 3m$. Denote by $L$ any $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$-linear permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Let $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ such that $f$ has a $b$-translator $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ with $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Then the functions*

$$x \mapsto L(x) + L(\gamma)\left((f(x))^{2^{2m}+1} + (f(x))^{2^m+1} + \nu(f(x))\right)$$

*permute $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{0, 1, b^{-1}\}$.*

# 6 A special class of permutations

There is currently a lot of work related to the functions over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ of type

$$F \ : \ x \mapsto (f(x)+\delta)^s + L(x), \ \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*, \tag{8}$$

where $f$ is linear, $s$ is any integer and $L$ is a linearized polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$ (see [14],[16] and [19] for the most recent articles, and their references). The problem is *to determine some $(\delta, s, L)$ such that $F$ is a permutation.* To apply directly Theorem 2, we take $L(x) = x$ and specific functions $f$. According to our previous results and thanks to Theorem 2 we can treat some cases directly. Note that $\delta$ must be in the image set of $f$ to apply Theorem 2.

**Proposition 6** *Let $n = 2k$, $F(x) = \gamma(f(x))^s + x$ where $f(x) = x^{p^k} + x + \delta$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Set $b = T_k^n(\gamma)$. Then*

- *If $b = 0$ then $F$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ for any $s$ as well as*

$$x \mapsto L(\gamma)(f(x))^s + L(x) \text{ where } L \text{ is an } \mathbb{F}_{p^k}\text{-linear permutation.}$$

- *When $b = 0$, $F^{-1} = x + (p-1)\gamma(f(x))^s$. Notably, $F$ is an involution if and only if $p = 2$.*

- *When $b \neq 0$, one can apply Theorem 2 if and only if $u \mapsto u + bu^s$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. It is especially the case when $u \mapsto u^s$ is $b$-complete.*

*Proof.* First, we have from Lemma 2:

$$f(x + \gamma u) - f(x) = u(\gamma^{p^k} + \gamma),$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and all $x$. Thus $\gamma$ is a $b$-translator of $f$, with $b = \gamma^{p^k} + \gamma$.

To have $b = 0$ is always possible. When $p = 2$ we take $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. When $p$ is odd it is known that $\gamma^{p^k-1} = -1$ has a solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ as soon as $n/\gcd(n,k)$ is even (see [3, Claim 4], for instance). Here we have $2k/\gcd(2k,k) = 2$. For such $\gamma$, we can apply Theorem 2 for any $s$. Moreover, the inverse of $F$ is obtained by applying Proposition 4. According to Theorem 5, we can apply Theorem 2 in particular when $u \mapsto u^s$ is $b$-complete. ◇

Our purpose is to contribute to the current works on polynomials of type (8). Generally, to prove that $F$ is a permutation is easier when $\delta$ is in a subfield and $f$ has its image in this subfield. In the next subsections we study specific polynomials, taking $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ where $n = 2k$. The results presented by Propositions 8 and 9 (and

then Corollary 9) are partly already known. The necessary and sufficient condition of bijectivity can be obtained by using the AGW criterion. More precisely, we give here instances and applications of the following result which is a direct consequence of [1, Theorem 5.1]. We first give the version of [1, Proposition 5.9] that we need in our context.

**Proposition 7** *Let $L$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$-linear polynomial which permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and $g, h : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, where $h(x^{p^k} - x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$.*

*Then the function $x \mapsto h(x^{p^k} - x)L(x) + g(x^{p^k} - x)$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if*

$$x \mapsto h(x)L(x) + g(x)^{p^k} - g(x) \quad \textit{permutes } \mathcal{J} = \{y^{p^k} - y | y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}.$$

We propose another way of proving the bijectivity in Propositions 8 and 9. Our main purpose is to use the component functions of $F$ explicitly relying on the following criterion: *$F : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is a permutation if and only if all its component functions $F_\lambda(x) = Tr(\lambda F(x))$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, are balanced [10, Theorem 7.7].* This approach may have independent significance for establishing permutation property of other classes of functions and may be useful in the analysis of the Walsh spectra of the component functions.

## 6.1 Permutation polynomials for p = 2

When $p = 2$, to say that the component functions $F_\lambda(x) = Tr(\lambda F(x))$ of $F$ are *balanced* is to prove that

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda F(x))} = 0, \ \forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*. \tag{9}$$

**Proposition 8** *Let $n = 2k$ and $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $F(x) = x + (x + x^{2^k} + \delta)^s$, where $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $s$ is any integer in the range $[0, 2^n - 2]$. Notation $F_\lambda$ and $A_\lambda$ is defined above. Let us define*

$$g \ : \ y \mapsto y + (y + \delta)^s + (y + \delta)^{2^k s} \quad \textit{from } \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \textit{ to } \mathbb{F}_{2^k}.$$

*Then we have:*

**(i)** *$F$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ if and only if the function $g$ is bijective. In particular, if $s$ satisfies $2^k s \equiv s \pmod{2^n - 1}$ then $F$ is a permutation.*

**(ii)** *The Boolean functions $F_\lambda$ are balanced for all $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ then*

$$A_\lambda = 2^k \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{T_1^k(\lambda g(y))}.$$

*Proof.* Note that $s = 0, 1$ are trivial cases. So we suppose that $s \geq 2$. The item (i) comes directly from Proposition 7, by taking (with its notation) $L(x) = x$, $g(x) = (x+\delta)^s$ and $h$ is the constant function equal to 1. Note that in this case $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$. Clearly, if $2^k s \equiv s \pmod{2^n - 1}$ then $g(y) = y$, and thus $F$ is a permutation.

(ii) Now, it is easy to see that $F$ is affine on any coset of $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$: for $x = a + y$, $y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$

$$F(a+y) = y + a + (a + a^{2^k} + \delta)^s.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a set of representatives of these cosets. Thus $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} = \cup_{a \in \mathcal{W}}(a + \mathbb{F}_{2^k})$. We have for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\lambda &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda F(y+a))} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda(y+a+(a+a^{2^k}+\delta)^s))} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{T_1^k\left((\lambda+\lambda^{2^k})y + T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a))\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $A_\lambda = 0$ for any $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$, which means that $F_\lambda$ is balanced for all these $\lambda$. Now assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$. Then

$$A_\lambda = 2^k \sum_{a \in \mathcal{W}} (-1)^{T_1^k\left(T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a))\right)},$$

where

$$T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a)) = \lambda \left( a + a^{2^k} + (a + a^{2^k} + \delta)^s + (a + a^{2^k} + \delta)^{2^k s} \right).$$

Since $a \mapsto a + a^{2^k}$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{W}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$, to compute the values $T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a))$ is exacly to compute $\lambda g(y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$. Clearly, $A_\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$ if and only if $g$ is bijective. ◇

**Remark 3** *In a recent article [16], two classes of permutations $F(x) = x + (x + x^{2^k} + \delta)^s$ were proposed for $s$ of the form $s = i(2^k \pm 1) + 1$. More precisely, it was shown that $F$ is a permutation for $s = 2(2^k - 1) + 1 = 2^{k+1} - 1$ and for $s \in \{2^k + 2, 2^{2k-1} + 2^{k-1} + 1, 2^{2k} - 2^k - 1\}$ when $s = i(2^k + 1) + 1$. The above result covers the case $s = i(2^k + 1)$ for any $i \in [0, 2^k - 2]$, since in this case $s(2^k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^n - 1}$.*

It is also of interest to establish whether for $s = 2^i$, for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, the linearized polynomial $F(x)$ is a permutation. An immediate consequence of Proposition 8 is the following.

**Corollary 6** *Using the same notation as in Proposition 8, if $s = 2^i$ then $F(x) = x+(x+x^{2^k}+\delta)^s$ is a linearized permutation for any $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and any $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$.*

*Proof.* Since $F$ is a permutation if and only if $g(y) = y + T_k^{2k}((y+\delta)^s)$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$, then for $s = 2^i$ we have

$$g(y) = y + T_k^n(y^{2^i}) + T_k^n(\delta^{2^i}) = y + T_k^n(\delta^{2^i})$$

which is clearly a permutation. ◇

Another direct consequence of Proposition 8 is the following result.

**Corollary 7** *Using the same notation as in Proposition 8, if $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ then $F(x) = x + (x + x^{2^k} + \delta)^s$ is a permutation for any $s \in [0, 2^k - 2]$.*

*Proof.* If $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ then $(y+\delta)^s \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ since $y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ so that $g(y) = y+T_k^n((y+\delta)^s) = y$, which is a permutation and so is $F$ regardless of the choice of $s$. ◇

**Remark 4** *Corollary 7 also follows from Proposition 6 by noting that in this case $b = 0$, that is, $\gamma = 1$ is a 0-translator. Recall that in this case $F$ is an involution for any $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$.*

## 6.2 Permutation polynomials for odd p

Using the same technique, we deduce slightly different results when $p$ is odd. For odd $p$, the function $F_\lambda$ is said to be *balanced* when

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \zeta_p^{Tr(\lambda F(x))} = 0 \tag{10}$$

where $\zeta_p$ is a $p$-th root of unity, *i.e.*, $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ for some $i$. Also, $F$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if (10) holds for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$.

**Proposition 9** *Let $p$ be an odd prime, $n = 2k$ and $F : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$,*

$$F(x) = L(x) + (x^{p^k} - x + \delta)^s,\ \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n},$$

*where $L \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]$ is a linear permutation and $s$ is any integer in the range $[1, p^n - 2]$. Let us define*

$$G(y) = -L(y) + (y+\delta)^s - (y+\delta)^{p^k s},\ y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

*Then we have:*

**(i)** *$F$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if the function $G$ permutes the subspace $\mathcal{S} = \{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_k^n(y) = 0\}$. In particular, if $s$ satisfies $p^k s \equiv s \pmod{p^n - 1}$ then $F$ is a permutation.*

**(ii)** *The component functions $F_\lambda$ of $F$ are balanced for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ satisfying $T_k^n(\lambda) \neq 0$. If $T_k^n(\lambda) = 0$, then*

$$A_\lambda = p^k \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}} \zeta_p^{T_1^k(\lambda G(y))}.$$

*Proof.* First, (i) comes directly from Proposition 7, by taking (with its notation) $g(x) = (x+\delta)^s$ and $h$ is the constant function equal to 1. Obviously $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{J}$, since $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ have the same cardinality $p^k$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{S}$ because $y = u^{p^k} - u$ satisfies $T_k^{2k}(y) = 0$. Note that $L(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$ since

$$L(y) + (L(y))^{p^k} = L(y + y^{p^k}) = L(0) = 0, \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathcal{S}.$$

If $s$ satisfies $p^k s \equiv s \pmod{p^n - 1}$, then $G(y) = -L(y)$ implying that $F$ is a permutation since $L$ permutes $\mathcal{S}$ by assumption.

As in Proposition 8, $\mathcal{W}$ is a set of representatives of the $p^k$ cosets of $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Recall that $F_\lambda(x) = Tr(\lambda F(x))$. We have for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$:

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \zeta_p^{Tr(\lambda F(x))} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{W}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}} \zeta_p^{Tr(\lambda F(y+a))},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\lambda F(y+a)) &= Tr\left(\lambda(L(y+a) + (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^s)\right) \\ &= T_1^k\left(L(y)(\lambda + \lambda^{p^k}) + T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $L \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and thus over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ as well, we deduce that $A_\lambda = 0$ for any $\lambda$ such that $\lambda + \lambda^{p^k} \neq 0$, *i.e.*, $F_\lambda$ is balanced for such $\lambda$. Further, for $\lambda + \lambda^{p^k} = 0$, thus $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$, we get

$$A_\lambda = p^k \sum_{a \in \mathcal{W}} \zeta_p^{T_1^k\left(T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a))\right)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a)) &= (\lambda L(a))^{p^k} + \lambda L(a) + T_k^{2k}(\lambda(a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^s) \\ &= \lambda\left(L(a) - L(a^{p^k}) + (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^s - (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^{sp^k}\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(L(a - a^{p^k}) + (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^s - (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^{sp^k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\pm(z^{p^k}-z) \in \mathcal{S}$, for any $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Moreover $\lambda s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ for any $s \in \mathcal{S}$, since

$$(\lambda s)^{p^k} = \lambda^{p^k} s^{p^k} = (-\lambda)(-s) = \lambda s.$$

Therefore, $T_k^{2k}(\lambda F(a)) = \lambda B$ with

$$B = L(a) + (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^s - \left(L(a) + (a^{p^k} - a + \delta)^s\right)^{p^k}, \tag{11}$$

which satisfies $T_k^{2k}(B) = 0$, *i.e.,* $B \in \mathcal{S}$. Clearly, the function $a \mapsto a^{p^k} - a$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{W}$ to $\mathcal{S}$. Finally, the function

$$G(y) = -L(y) + (y+\delta)^s - (y+\delta)^{sp^k},$$

can be viewed as a function from the subspace $\mathcal{S}$ to itself and $\lambda G(y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Consequently

$$A_\lambda = p^k \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}} \zeta_p^{T_1^k(\lambda G(y))}.$$

Note that $A_\lambda = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $G$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{S}$. $\diamond$

**Corollary 8** *Notation is as in Proposition 9. Assume that $T_k^n(\delta) = 0$. Then*

- *If $s$ is even then $F$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ for any permutation $L$.*
- *If $s$ is odd then $F$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if*

$$y \mapsto L(y) - 2(y+\delta)^s \quad \textit{is a permutation of } \mathcal{S}.$$

- *If $s$ is even and $L(x) = x$, then we have $F^{-1}(x) = F_{p-1}(x)$.*

*Proof.* As we noticed in the previous proof, $L$ induces a permutation of $\mathcal{S}$. The case $s$ even was proved in [18, Theorem 3.4]. Another proof is simply derived from Proposition 9 by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} G(y) &= -L(y) + (y+\delta)^s - (-y-\delta)^s \\ &= -L(y) + (y+\delta)^s - (-1)^s(y+\delta)^s = -L(y). \end{aligned}$$

When $s$ is odd, we get $G(y) = -L(y) + 2(y+\delta)^s$. Now consider

$$F(x) = x + (f(x))^s, f(x) = x^{p^k} - x + \delta, \text{ with } s \text{ even}.$$

Note that $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ when $T_k^n(\delta) = 0$, since $f(x)^{p^k} = -f(x)$. Moreover,

$$(f(x))^{sp^k} - (f(x))^s = (-f(x))^s - (f(x))^s = 0 \tag{12}$$

holds for any even $s$. To compute the inverse of $F$ we proceed as in Section 4. We have here

$$F \circ F(x) = F(x) + (f(x + (f(x))^s))^s, \tag{13}$$

where $T_k^{2k}(f(x)) = 0$. Setting $a = (f(x))^s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+a) - f(x) &= (x+a)^{p^k} - (x+a) + \delta - x^{p^k} + x - \delta \\ &= a^{p^k} - a = 0, \quad \text{from (12)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to (13),

$$F_2(x) = F(x) + (f(x))^s = x + 2(x^{p^k} - x + \delta)^s.$$

Further, for $j > 2$, assuming that $F_{j-1}(x) = x + (j-1)(f(x))^s$

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(x) &= F_{j-1}(F(x)) = F(x) + (j-1)\left(f(x + (f(x))^s)\right)^s \\ &= x + (f(x))^s + (j-1)(f(x))^s = x + j(f(x))^s. \end{aligned}$$

So, $F_p(x) = x$, completing the proof. ◇

In the case when $s$ is odd, the next corollary generalizes [14, Theorem 4] with a simple proof. Notation is as in Proposition 9.

**Corollary 9** *Let $p$ be an odd prime, $n = 2k$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\}$. Then*

$$F(x) = L(x) + (x^{p^k} - x + \delta)^{\ell(p^k-1)+1},\ 1 \le \ell \le p^k,$$

*permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if $y \mapsto L(y) - 2(-1)^\ell y$ permutes $\mathcal{S}$. It is especially the case when:*

$$F(x) = \rho x + (x^{p^k} - x + \delta)^{\ell(p^k-1)+1},\ \rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*,\ \rho \neq 2(-1)^\ell.$$

*Proof.* Since $p$ is odd, then $\ell(p^k-1)+1$ is odd for any $\ell$. From Corollary 8, $F$ is a permutation if and only if

$$y \mapsto G(y) = L(y) - 2(y+\delta)^s, \quad s = \ell(p^k-1)+1$$

is a permutation of $\mathcal{S}$. Note that $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\beta^{p^k-1} = -1$. Moreover $\beta^s \in \mathcal{S}$ for any odd $s$, since

$$T_k^{2k}(\beta^s) = (-\beta)^s + \beta^s = (-1)^s\beta^s + \beta^s = 0.$$

For $y \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $y + \delta \in \mathcal{S}$ and

$$(y+\delta)^s = (y+\delta)^{\ell(p^k-1)}(y+\delta) = (-1)^\ell(y+\delta).$$

So, $G(y) = L(y) - 2(-1)^\ell(y+\delta)$ and $G$ is a permutation if and only if the linear function $y \mapsto L(y) - 2(-1)^\ell y$ is bijective on $\mathcal{S}$. Now if $L(x) = \rho x$ then $y \mapsto (\rho - 2(-1)^\ell)y$ is a permutation as soon as $\rho - 2(-1)^\ell \neq 0$. ◇

# 7 Conclusion

In this article several infinite classes of permutations have been specified. The existence of these specific classes of permutations relies heavily on the existence of linear translators. To specify $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ having linear translators, which are not monomials or binomials (or monomial trace forms), is left as an interesting research topic. In Section 6, we contribute to the current works on the functions of type (8). We give another approach to analyze the permutation property by studying the balancedness of the component functions, thus indicating potentially another research direction which would be the study of the spectrum of the components of functions of type (8).

# References


[1] A. Akbary, D. Ghioca and Q. Wang. On constructing permutations of finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 17(1) (2011), pp. 51–67.

[2] L. A. Bassalygo and V. A. Zinoviev. Permutation and complete permutation polynomials, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 33 (2015), pp. 198–211.

[3] P. Charpin and G. Kyureghyan. Monomial functions with linear structure and permutation polynomials, in: Finite Fields: Theory and Applications FQ9, in: *Contemp. Math.,* vol. 518, AMS, 2010, pp. 99–111.

[4] P. Charpin and G. Kyureghyan. When does $G(x) + \gamma\, Tr(H(x))$ permute $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ ? *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 15 (5) (2009), pp. 615–632.

[5] P. Charpin, G. M. Kyureghyan and V. Suder. Sparse permutations with low differential uniformity, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 28 (2014), pp. 214–243.

[6] P. Charpin, S. Mesnager and S. Sarkar. Involutions over the Galois field $GF(2^n)$. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol. 62, N0 4, April 2016.

[7] P. Charpin and S. Sarkar. Polynomials with linear structure and Maiorana-McFarland construction. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 57 (6) (2011), pp. 3796–3804.

[8] X. Hou. Permutation polynomials over finite fields A survey of recent advances, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 32 (2015), pp. 82–119.

[9] G. M. Kyureghyan. Constructing permutations of finite fields via linear translators, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Series A vol. 118 (2011), pp. 1052–1061.

[10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 20, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1983.

[11] G. L. Mullen and Q. Wang. Permutation polynomials, Chapter 8 in *Handbook of Finite Fields*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2013, pp. 215–230.

[12] E. Pasalic, A. Muratovic-Ribic, S. Hodzic and S. Gangopadhyay. On derivatives of polynomials over finite fields through integration. Available at Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2016/022. http://eprint.iacr.org/.

[13] A. Tuxanidy and Q. Wang. On the inverses of some classes of permutations of finite fields. *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 28 (2014), pp. 244–281.

[14] Z. Tu, X. Zeng, C. Li and T. Helleseth. Permutation polynomials of the form $(x^{p^m} - x + \delta)^s + L(x)$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ of odd characteristic. *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 31 (2015), pp. 12–24.

[15] Z. Tu, X. Zeng and L. Hu. Several classes of complete permutation polynomials, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 25 (2014), pp. 182–193.

[16] Z. Tu, X. Zeng and Y. Jiang. Two classes of permutation polynomials having the form $(x^{2^m} + x + \delta)^s + x$. *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 31 (2015), pp. 12–24.

[17] G. Wu, N. Li, T. Helleseth and Y. Zhang. Some classes of monomial complete permutation polynomials over finite fields of characteristic two, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 28 (2014), pp. 148–165.

[18] P. Yuan and C. Ding. Further results on permutation polynomials over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 27 (2014), pp. 88–103.

[19] P. Yuan, C. Ding, H. Wang and J. Pieprzyk. Permutation polynomials of the form $(x^p - x + \delta)^s + L(x)$. *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 14 (2008), no. 2, pp. 482–493.